

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Kin

Serial Number:

10/692,138

Filed:

23 October 2003

Group Art Unit:

3661

Examiner:

M. J. Zanelli

Confirmation Number:

9176

Title:

Vehicle Speed Measuring Apparatus

LETTER OF RECORD TO CORRECT REFERENCES IN THE PROSECUTION HISTORY TO ITEMS RECEIVED ON "31 OCTOBER 2003"

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner For Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant wishes hereby to clarify the following discrepancies noted in the prosecution history for the referenced case:

The application was filed on 23 October 2003 with the following documents, as itemized on the return receipt postcard (a copy of which is attached):

- Application Data Sheet with
 - Certificate Of Mailing
 - authorization (in duplicate) to charge \$770.00 to deposit account
 - Specification, Claims, Abstract, Drawings
 - original signed Declaration
- Preliminary Amendment-A with
 - Certificate Of Mailing
- Transmittal Of Assignment Document with
 - Certificate Of Mailing
 - Recordation Form Cover Sheet
 - \$40.00 check
 - original signed Assignment
- Information Disclosure Statement with
 - Certificate Of Mailing
 - Form PTO/SB/08A
 - copy of cited reference with English abstract
- Transmittal Of Priority Document with
 - Certificate Of Mailing
 - certified priority document

Applicant filed nothing further with the Patent Office between 23 October 2003 and the 17 February 2005 mailing date of the first Office Action in the case.

Nevertheless, the Office Action Summary page for the Office Action dated 17 February 2005 states that

+ The Office Action is "Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October</u> 2003."

and

+ "The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner."

Both statements are incorrect, and should instead refer to "communication(s) filed on <u>23 October</u> 2003" and "drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October</u> 2003".

Further, Page 2 of the Office Action dated 17 February 2005 states, at Items 1 and 3, respectively, that

- + "The preliminary amend [sic] filed 10/31/03 has been entered." and
 - + "The IDS filed 10/31/03 has been considered."

Again, both statements are incorrect, and should instead refer to "the preliminary amendment filed 10/23/03" and "the IDS filed 10/23/03".

Finally, the Notice Of Allowability mailed on 02 August 2005, at Item 3 thereof, states that

+ "The drawings filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> are accepted by the Examiner."

As the only drawings in the case were filed on 23 October 2003, this statement is also incorrect, and should instead refer to "the drawings filed on 23 October 2003".

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number 21828 Carrier, Blackman & Associates, P.C. 24101 Novi Road, Suite 100 Novi, Michigan 48375 22 September 2005

Joseph P. Carrier Attorney for Applicant Registration Nr. 31,748 (248) 344-4422

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner For Patents, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on 22 September 2005.

Dated: 22 September 2005 JPC/eb enclosure

Erica Briggs